

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
APPELLATE SIDE**

APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 655 OF 2006

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 885 OF 2006

Sunil Pravinchandra Vora.

... Appellant.

V/s.

Maharashtra Housing & Area  
Development Authority and others.

... Respondents.

-----  
Office Notes,Office :  
Memoranda of Coram, : Court's or Judge's orders  
appearnaces,Courts's :  
orders or directions :  
and Registrar's orders. :  
-----

Ms.Usha Rahi i/b. Mayur Narendra & Co.  
for the appellant.

Mrs.Geeta Mulekar, AGP for respondent No.1.

Kishor Jain with Ms.Sheetal Shah i/b. Mehta  
& Girdharlal for respondent No.4.

**CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.**

**DATED: 24th January 2007.**

**P.C.:**

. As the impugned order is passed as  
back as on 4th August 2006 refusing to grant  
ad-interim relief for the reasons recorded  
therein, I see no reason to depart from the  
approach adopted by the trial Court. The  
appeal is dismissed with direction to the  
trial Court to decide Notice of Motion No.3053  
of 2006 expeditiously. I am informed that the  
said notice of motion is posted on 16th

February 2007. The parties ensure that pleadings will be completed in the said notice of motion by that date so that trial Court can proceed with the hearing of the notice of motion on the same date or such other date as may be convenient to it. All questions in the suit as well as in notice of motion are left open to be decided on its own merits.

2. Appeal stands disposed of on the above terms.

3. In view of dismissal of appeal, civil application does not survive. The same stands disposed of as infructuous.

**(A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.)**